Macomb County/MI-503 Continuum of Care
FY 2024 CoC Competition New Project Score Sheet

Project Name:

Reviewer Name:

Date:

	Meets/will meet	Does not meet
CoC Threshold Requirements		

Categories	Points Possible	Percentage
Experience	30	14%
Design of Housing and Supportive Services	45	21%
Timeliness	10	5%
Financial	45	21%
Project Effectiveness	5	2%
Equity Factors	70	33%
Other and Local Criteria	10	5%
Total Points Possible	**215**	**100%**

Categories	Points Awarded	
Experience	0	
Design of Housing and Supportive Services	0	
Timeliness	0	
Financial	0	
Project Effectiveness	0	
Equity Factors	0	
Other and Local Criteria	0	
Total Points Possible	**0**	**0%**

	Project Name:	0		
	Reviewer Name:	0		

	CoC Threshold Requirement	Definition	Meets Threshold	Verification Method
1	Coordinated Entry Participation	The project participates or intends to participate in coordinated entry in compliance with the CoC's Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures and HUD's Coordinated Entry Notice.		Verified by Collaborative Applicant records.
2	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	The project is, or intends to be, designed, and implemented using Housing First principles including: no preconditions or barriers to entry except as required by funding sources, and provision of necessary supports to maintain housing and prevent a return to homelessness.		Demonstrated in the application documents/agency policies.
3	Documented, Secured Minimum Match	Applicant has match commitments that satisfy CoC Program interim rule requirements for source and amount.		Total cash and in-kind match documented in the application for this project at least 25% of the total grant amount (minus funds for leasing).
4	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit as defined locally	Cost per permanent housing exit can be determined by dividing total project costs by the number of permanent housing exits.		Demonstrated in application and APRs. If no exits (stayers only), threshold is considered met.
5	Project is financially feasible	Project has funding commitments equal to or exceeding project budget.		Demonstrated in application budget.
6	Applicant is an active CoC Participant	Applicant participation in CoC Board, CoC Membership, and/or committee meetings meets CoC requirements for a member in good standing.		Verified by Collaborative Applicant records.
7	Application is complete and data is consistent	All required information is completed and all required attachments are provided. Data provided in response to different questions match.		Documented in application.
8	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Applicant's audit or financial review does not contain findings or other indications of financial or accounting problems.		Verified in application.

MUST MEET ALL THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS TO PROCEED

	0
	0

New Project Rating - Rate the quality of the response to the questions below.

	Experience	Possible Points	Rating Score
1	Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	15	
2	Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	10	
3	Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	5	

	Design of Housing and Supportive Services	Possible Points	Rating Score
4	Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	15	
5	Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	5	
6	Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5	

		Possible Points	Rating Score
7	Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	10	
8	Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	10	

Timeliness	Possible Points	Rating Score
9 Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	

Financial	Possible Points	Rating Score
10 Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	5	
11 Organization's most recent audit: Found no exceptions to standard practices	5	
12 Organization's most recent audit: Identified agency as "low risk"	5	
13 Organization's most recent audit: Indicates no findings	5	
14 Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	5	
15 Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20	

Project Effectiveness	Possible Points	Rating Score
17 Project agrees to actively participate in CE system and accept referrals from CE.	5	

Equity Factors		
Agency Leadership, Governance, Policies	Possible Points	Rating Score
18 New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions.	10	
19 New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience.	10	
20 New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one.	10	
21 New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes.	10	
Program Participant Outcomes	Possible Points	Rating Score

		Possible Points	Rating Score
22	New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review.	10	
23	New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review.	10	
24	New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review.	10	

Other and Local Criteria	Possible Points	Rating Score
25 Applicant currently provides services to Macomb County residents. Or, if applicant is not currently providing services in Macomb County, describe the plan for how the agency will start providing services in Macomb County.	10	

0

Macomb County/MI-503 Continuum of Care
FY 2024 CoC Competition PSH Renewal Project Score Sheet

Project Name:

Reviewer Name:

Date:

	Meets	Does not meet
CoC Threshold Requirements		

Categories	Points Possible	Percentage
Performance Measures* ^^	55	28%
Serve High Needs Populations*	30	15%
Project Effectiveness	10	5%
Equity Factors	70	36%
Other and Local Criteria*	30	15%
Total Points Possible	**195**	**100%**

*Objective criteria

^^ System performance criteria

Categories	Points Awarded	
Performance Measures	0	
Serve High Needs Populations	0	
Project Effectiveness	0	
Equity Factors	0	
Other and Local Criteria	0	
Total Points Possible	**0**	**0%**

	Project Name:	0
	Reviewer Name:	0

	CoC Threshold Requirement	Definition	Meets Threshold	Verification Method
1	Coordinated Entry Participation	The project participates or intends to participate in coordinated entry in compliance with the CoC's Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures and HUD's Coordinated Entry Notice.		Verified by Collaborative Applicant records.
2	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	The project is, or intends to be, designed, and implemented using Housing First principles including: no preconditions or barriers to entry except as required by funding sources, and provision of necessary supports to maintain housing and prevent a return to homelessness.		Demonstrated in the application documents/agency policies.
3	Documented, Secured Minimum Match	Applicant has match commitments that satisfy CoC Program interim rule requirements for source and amount.		Total cash and in-kind match documented in the application for this project at least 25% of the total grant amount (minus funds for leasing).
4	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit as defined locally	Cost per permanent housing exit can be determined by dividing total project costs by the number of permanent housing exits.		Demonstrated in application and APRs. If no exits (stayers only), threshold is considered met.
5	Project is financially feasible	Project has funding commitments equal to or exceeding project budget.		Demonstrated in application budget.
6	Applicant is an active CoC Participant	Applicant participation in CoC Board, CoC Membership, and/or committee meetings meets CoC requirements for a member in good standing.		Verified by Collaborative Applicant records.
7	Application is complete and data is consistent	All required information is completed and all required attachments are provided. Data provided in response to different questions match.		Documented in application.
8	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Applicant's audit or financial review does not contain findings or other indications of financial or accounting problems.		Verified in application.

MUST MEET ALL THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS TO PROCEED

	0
	0

PSH Renewal Project Rating

Performance Measures		
Length of Stay		
1 On average, participants spend 40 days from project entry to residential move-in. Award points for the factor met by the project. If there were no new persons who moved into housing (stayers only), award 20 points.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
0-40 days	20	
41-80 days	15	
81-120 days	10	
121-160 days	5	
161+	0	
Data Source - APR Q22c - Average length of time to housing		

Exits to Permanent Housing		
2 Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing is 90% or more. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
90-100%	25	
80-89%	20	
70-79%	15	
60-69%	10	
50-59%	5	
49% or less	0	
Data Source - APR Q05 number stayers + Q23 number of persons exiting to positive housing destinations divided by Q05 total number of persons served		

	New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Possible Points	Rating Score
3	Minimum percent of participants with new or increased *earned income* for project *stayers* is 8% or higher.	2.5	
	Data Source - APR Q19a1 - Number of Adults with Earned Income, Performance Measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure.		
4	Minimum percent of participants with new or increased *non-employment income* for project *stayers* is 10% or higher.	2.5	
	Data Source - APR Q19a1 - Number of Adults with Other Income, Performance Measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure.		
5	Minimum percent of participants with new or increased *earned income* for project *leavers* is 15% or higher.	2.5	
	Data Source - APR Q19a2 - Number of Adults with Earned Income, Performance Measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure.		
6	Minimum percent of participants with new or increased *non-employment income* for project *leavers* is 25% or higher.	2.5	
	Data Source - APR Q19a2 - Number of Adults with Other Income, Performance Measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure.		

	Serve High Needs Population		
7	Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry is 30% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	Point Values	Rating Score
	30%+	10	
	20-29%	5	
	10-19%	2	
	9% or less	0	
	Data Source - APR Q16 - Number of people with "no income" at Start divided by Total Adults		

8	Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability is 30% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	Point Values	Rating Score
	30%+	10	
	20-29%	5	
	10-19%	2	
	9% or less	0	
	Data Source - APR Q13a2 - Total Persons with 2 Conditions + Total Persons with 3+ Conditions divided by Total		

9	Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation is 30% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	Point Values	Rating Score
	30%+	10	
	20-29%	5	
	10-19%	2	
	9% or less	0	

Data Source - APR Q15 - Total persons "place not meant for human habitation" divided by Total

	Project Effectiveness	**Possible Points**	**Rating Score**
10	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures (yes = 10 pts; no = 0)	10	

Data Source - Project Renewal Application/supplemental application

	Equity Factors		
	Agency Leadership, Governance and Policies (yes=10 pts; no=0)	**Possible Points**	**Rating Score**
11	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions	10	
12	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	10	
13	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	10	
14	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	10	

Data Source - Supplemental application

	Program Participant Outcomes (yes=10 pts; no=0)	Possible Points	Rating Score
15	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	10	
16	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	10	
17	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	10	
	Data Source - Supplemental application		

	Other and Local Criteria	Possible Points	Rating Score
18	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards.	10	
	Data Source - Project Renewal Application/supplemental application		

		Possible Points	Rating Score
19	Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%. Beds or units in the project are occupied 90% or more of the operating year.	10	
	Data Source - APR Q02		

	HMIS data quality and universal data elements. One point per element with an error rate below 3%.	Possible Points	Rating Score
20	SSN	1	
21	DOB	1	
22	Race/Ethnicity	1	
23	Gender	1	
24	Veteran Status	1	
25	Relationship to Head of Household	1	
26	Disabling Condition	1	
27	Destination	1	
28	Income and Sources at Start	1	
29	Income and Sources at Exit	1	
	Data Source - APR Q06a, Q06b, Q06c - review percent error rate		

0

Macomb County/MI-503 Continuum of Care
FY 2024 CoC Competition RHH Renewal Project Score Sheet

Project Name:

Reviewer Name:

Date:

	Meets	Does not meet
CoC Threshold Requirements		

Categories	Points Possible	Percentage
Performance Measures* ^^	50	26%
Serve High Needs Populations*	30	16%
Project Effectiveness	10	5%
Equity Factors	70	37%
Other and Local Criteria*	30	16%
Total Points Possible	**190**	**100%**

*Objective criteria

^^ System performance criteria

Categories	Points Awarded	
Performance Measures	0	
Serve High Needs Populations	0	
Project Effectiveness	0	
Equity Factors	0	
Other and Local Criteria	0	
Total Points Possible	**0**	**0%**

	Project Name:	0
	Reviewer Name:	0

	CoC Threshold Requirement	Definition	Meets Threshold	Verification Method
1	Coordinated Entry Participation	The project participates or intends to participate in coordinated entry in compliance with the CoC's Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures and HUD's Coordinated Entry Notice.		Verified by Collaborative Applicant records.
2	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	The project is, or intends to be, designed, and implemented using Housing First principles including: no preconditions or barriers to entry except as required by funding sources, and provision of necessary supports to maintain housing and prevent a return to homelessness.		Demonstrated in the application documents/agency policies.
3	Documented, Secured Minimum Match	Applicant has match commitments that satisfy CoC Program interim rule requirements for source and amount.		Total cash and in-kind match documented in the application for this project at least 25% of the total grant amount (minus funds for leasing).
4	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit as defined locally	Cost per permanent housing exit can be determined by dividing total project costs by the number of permanent housing exits.		Demonstrated in application and APRs. If no exits (stayers only), threshold is considered met.
5	Project is financially feasible	Project has funding commitments equal to or exceeding project budget.		Demonstrated in application budget.
6	Applicant is an active CoC Participant	Applicant participation in CoC Board, CoC Membership, and/or committee meetings meets CoC requirements for a member in good standing.		Verified by Collaborative Applicant records.
7	Application is complete and data is consistent	All required information is completed and all required attachments are provided. Data provided in response to different questions match.		Documented in application.
8	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Applicant's audit or financial review does not contain findings or other indications of financial or accounting problems.		Verified in application.

MUST MEET ALL THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS TO PROCEED

RRH Renewal Project Rating

Performance Measures		
Length of Stay		
1. On average, participants spend 40 days from project entry to residential move-in. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
0-40 days	20	
41-80 days	15	
81-120 days	10	
121-160 days	5	
161+	0	
Data Source - APR 22c - avg length of time to housing		

Exits to Permanent Housing		
2. Minimum percent move to permanent housing. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
90-100%	25	
80-89%	20	
70-79%	15	
60-69%	10	
50-59%	5	
49% or less	0	
Data Source - APR 23c Percentage exiting to positive housing destinations (total)		

New or Increased Income and Earned Income	**Possible Points**	**Rating Score**
3. Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers is 15% or higher.	2.5	
Data Source - APR Q19a2 - Number of Adults with Earned Income, Performance Measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure.		
4. Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers is 25% or higher.	2.5	
Data Source - APR Q19a2 - Number of Adults with Other Income, Performance Measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure.		

	Serve High Needs Population		
5	Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry is 25% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
	25%+	10	
	15-24%	5	
	5-14%	2	
	5% or less	0	
	Data Source - APR Q16		

6	Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability is 25% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
	25%+	10	
	15-24%	5	
	5-14%	2	
	5% or less	0	
	Data Source - APR Q13a2		

7	Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation is 25% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
	25%+	10	
	15-24%	5	
	5-14%	2	
	5% or less	0	
	Data Source - APR Q15		

	Project Effectiveness	Possible Points	Rating Score
8	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures (yes = 10 pts; no = 0)	10	
	Data Source - Project Renewal Application/supplemental application		

	Equity Factors		
	Agency Leadership, Governance and Policies (yes=10 pts; no=0)	**Possible Points**	**Rating Score**
9	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions	10	
10	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	10	
11	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	10	
12	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	10	
	Data Source - Supplemental application		

	Program Participant Outcomes (yes=10 pts; no=0)	Possible Points	Rating Score
13	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	10	
14	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	10	
15	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	10	

Data Source - Supplemental application

	Other and Local Criteria	Possible Points	Rating Score
16	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards.	10	

Data Source - Project Renewal Application/supplemental application

		Possible Points	Rating Score
17	Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%. Beds or units in the project are occupied 90% or more of the operating year.	10	

Data Source - APR Q02

	HMIS data quality and universal data elements. One point per element with an error rate below 3%.	Possible Points	Rating Score
18	SSN	1	
19	DOB	1	
20	Race/Ethnicity	1	
21	Gender	1	
22	Veteran Status	1	
23	Relationship to Head of Household	1	
24	Disabling Condition	1	
25	Destination	1	
26	Income and Sources at Start	1	
27	Income and Sources at Exit	1	

Data Source - APR Q06a, Q06b, Q06c - review percent error rate

0

Macomb County/MI-503 Continuum of Care
FY 2024 CoC Competition TH-RRH Renewal Project Score Sheet

Project Name:

Reviewer Name:

Date:

	Meets	Does not meet
CoC Threshold Requirements		

Categories	Points Possible	Percentage
Performance Measures* ^^	50	26%
Serve High Needs Populations*	30	16%
Project Effectiveness	10	5%
Equity Factors	70	37%
Other and Local Criteria*	30	16%
Total Points Possible	**190**	**100%**

*Objective criteria

^^ System performance criteria

Categories	Points Awarded	
Performance Measures	0	
Serve High Needs Populations	0	
Project Effectiveness	0	
Equity Factors	0	
Other and Local Criteria	0	
Total Points Possible	**0**	**0%**

	Project Name:	0		
	Reviewer Name:	0		

	CoC Threshold Requirement	Definition	Meets Threshold	Verification Method
1	Coordinated Entry Participation	The project participates or intends to participate in coordinated entry in compliance with the CoC's Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures and HUD's Coordinated Entry Notice.		Verified by Collaborative Applicant records.
2	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	The project is, or intends to be, designed, and implemented using Housing First principles including: no preconditions or barriers to entry except as required by funding sources, and provision of necessary supports to maintain housing and prevent a return to homelessness.		Demonstrated in the application documents/agency policies.
3	Documented, Secured Minimum Match	Applicant has match commitments that satisfy CoC Program interim rule requirements for source and amount.		Total cash and in-kind match documented in the application for this project at least 25% of the total grant amount (minus funds for leasing).
4	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit as defined locally	Cost per permanent housing exit can be determined by dividing total project costs by the number of permanent housing exits.		Demonstrated in application and APRs. If no exits (stayers only), threshold is considered met.
5	Project is financially feasible	Project has funding commitments equal to or exceeding project budget.		Demonstrated in application budget.
6	Applicant is an active CoC Participant	Applicant participation in CoC Board, CoC Membership, and/or committee meetings meets CoC requirements for a member in good standing.		Verified by Collaborative Applicant records.
7	Application is complete and data is consistent	All required information is completed and all required attachments are provided. Data provided in response to different questions match.		Documented in application.
8	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Applicant's audit or financial review does not contain findings or other indications of financial or accounting problems.		Verified in application.

MUST MEET ALL THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS TO PROCEED

	0
	0

TH-RRH Renewal Project Rating

Performance Measures		
Length of Stay		
1 TH Component: On average, participants stay in project less than 210 days. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
0-210 days	10	
211-421 days	7.5	
422-632 days	5	
633-843 days	2.5	
844+	0	
Data Source - APR Q22b		

2 RRH Component: On average, participants spend 40 days from project entry to residential move-in. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
0-40 days	10	
41-80 days	7.5	
81-120 days	5	
121-160 days	2.5	
161+	0	
Data Source - APR 22c - avg length of time to housing		

Exits to Permanent Housing		
3 RRH: Minimum percent move to permanent housing. Award points for the factor met by the project.	**Point Values**	**Rating Score**
90-100%	25	
80-89%	20	
70-79%	15	
60-69%	10	
50-59%	5	
49% or less	0	
Data Source - APR 23c Percentage exiting to positive housing destinations (total)		

	New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Possible Points	Rating Score
4	RRH: Minimum percent of participants with new or increased *earned income* for project *leavers* is 15% or higher.	2.5	
	Data Source - APR Q19a2 - Number of Adults with Earned Income, Performance Measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure.		
5	RRH: Minimum percent of participants with new or increased *non-employment income* for project *leavers* is 25% or higher.	2.5	
	Data Source - APR Q19a2 - Number of Adults with Other Income, Performance Measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure.		

	Serve High Needs Population		
6	RRH: Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry is 25% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	Point Values	Rating Score
	25%+	10	
	15-24%	5	
	5-14%	2	
	5% or less	0	
	Data Source - APR Q16		

7	RRH: Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability is 25% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	Point Values	Rating Score
	25%+	10	
	15-24%	5	
	5-14%	2	
	5% or less	0	
	Data Source - APR Q13a2		

8	RRH: Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation is 25% or higher. Award points for the factor met by the project.	Point Values	Rating Score
	25%+	10	
	15-24%	5	
	5-14%	2	
	5% or less	0	
	Data Source - APR Q15		

	Project Effectiveness	Possible Points	Rating Score
9	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures (yes = 10 pts; no = 0)	10	
	Data Source - Project Renewal Application/supplemental application		

	Equity Factors		
	Agency Leadership, Governance and Policies (yes=10 pts; no=0)	**Possible Points**	**Rating Score**
10	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions	10	
11	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	10	
12	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	10	
13	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	10	
	Data Source - Supplemental application		

	Program Participant Outcomes (yes=10 pts; no=0)	**Possible Points**	**Rating Score**
14	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	10	
15	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	10	
16	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	10	
	Data Source - Supplemental application		

	Other and Local Criteria	**Possible Points**	**Rating Score**
17	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards.	10	
	Data Source - Project Renewal Application/supplemental application		

		Possible Points	**Rating Score**
18	Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%. Beds or units in the project are occupied 90% or more of the operating year.	10	
	Data Source - APR Q02		

	HMIS data quality and universal data elements. One point per element with an error rate below 3%.	Possible Points	Rating Score
19	SSN	1	
20	DOB	1	
21	Race/Ethnicity	1	
22	Gender	1	
23	Veteran Status	1	
24	Relationship to Head of Household	1	
25	Disabling Condition	1	
26	Destination	1	
27	Income and Sources at Start	1	
28	Income and Sources at Exit	1	

Data Source - APR Q06a, Q06b, Q06c - review percent error rate

0